CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

INFORMATION REPORT

This Document contains information affecting the National Defense of the United States, within the meaning of Title 18, Sections 793 and 794, of the U.S. Code, as amended. Its transmission or revelation of its contents to or receipt by an unauthorized person is prohibited by law. The reproduction of this form is prohibited.

01:	MIMIL
SECURITY	INFORMATION

COUNTRY		USSR/Gen	many (So	viet Zone	e)	REPOR	RT NO.			orr 25 %1
SUBJECT		Venereal	L Disease	in the S	Soviet Army	DATE	DISTR.	26 A	Lugust	1953
						NO.	OF PAGES	3		_
DATE OF	INFO.					REQU	REMENT NO.			25X1
PLACE A	CQUIRED					REFERI	ENCES			
							الممين			25X1
SOURÇE:										
			,							
			,							
					CORRECTI	ON				
	An Too	4 - 4 -	Penont	urith the			g the numbe	22		25Y1
	An Int	4 - 4 -	Report 7	with the	CORRECTI above headi		g the numbe	r		25X1
	was in	cormation	7 May 19	53. ***** ***	above headi	ng, bearin	was thenef	'armed to	cus qu	25X1
	was in	cormation	7 May 19	53. ***** ***	above headi	ng, bearin	was thenef	'armed to	on the	25X1
	was in	cormation	7 May 19	53. ***** ***	above headi	ng, bearin	was thenef	'armed to	· · · · ; «۲)	
	was in	cormation	7 May 19	53. ***** ***	above headi	ng, bearin	was thenef	'armed to	• स्टब्स्	
	was in	cormation	7 May 19	53. ***** ***	above headi	ng, bearin cal School rasnoyar, in paragr	was thenef	'armed to		25X1
	was in	cormation	7 May 19	53. ***** ***	above headi Naval Medi nd not to K Krasnoyarek	ng, bearin cal School rasnoyar, in paragr	was thenef	'armed to	ent de	

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

INFORMATION REPORT

This Document contains information affecting the National Defense of the United States, within the meaning of Title 18, Sections 793 and 794, of the U.S. Code, as amended. Its transmission or revelation of its contents to or receipt by an unauthorized person is prohibited by law. The reproduction of this form is prohibited.

SECRET SECURITY INFORMATION

	RY	USSR/Germany	(Soviet Zone)	REPORT NO)		25X1
SUBJEC	T	Venereal Dis	ease in the Soviet I	trmy DATE DISTR	. 7	May 1953	20,(1,
25X1A				NO. OF PA	AGES 3		
DATE (OF INFO.			REQUIREMEN	T NO.		25X1
PLACE	ACQUIRED			REFERENCES	· .		25/1/
							25X1
1.	I neve	r heard of any	directive or circul	lar issued by the l	dedical Depa	rt ment	
1.	or the	war Ministry	in Moscow or the Med	ical Department of	COM Sott	ina en	
1.	of the	war Ministry :	in Moscow or the Med on VD. I am positi	ical Department of	COM Sott	ina en	25
-	of the	war Ministry	in Moscow or the Med on VD. I am positi	ical Department of ve that no such di	GOFG sett	ing an circular	25
-	officia was in	war ministry : al army policy the file of the	in Moscow or the Med on VD. I am positi	ical Department of ve that no such di	GOFG sett	ing an circular	25
1.	officia was in	war ministry : al army policy the file of the	in Moscow or the Med on VD. I am positi	ical Department of ve that no such di	GOFG sett	ing an circular	25
< 1	of the official was in nad every Soviet	war ministry: al army policy the file of the r been issued. tray policies of	on VD. I am positing in twould certainly on VD were determine	ical Department of the that no such distribution if a first of all by	GOFG sett rective or such a direction of file.	ing an circular tive	25
< 1	of the official was in nad every Soviet	war ministry: al army policy the file of the r been issued. tray policies of	on VD. I am positing in twould certainly on VD were determine	ical Department of the that no such distribution if a first of all by	GOFG sett rective or such a direction of file.	ing an circular tive	25
< 1	of the official was in nad every Soviet I	war ministry: al army policy the file of the r been issued trmy policies of titude toward to	on VD. I am position to the Median VD. I am position in the last state of the last s	ical Department of the that no such distribution if a first of all by in Germany as in the state of the state	GOFG sett rective or such a direct file. local condi he Soviet U	ing an circular tive	25
< 1	of the official was in nad even to the attachment at the attachment at the same of the attachment at a	the file of the result of the file of the result of the result of the result of the result of the soviet United So	on VD. I am position of VD were determine VD was not the same	ical Department of the that no such distribution if a first of all by in Germany as in the D was considered a	GOFG sett rective or such a direct file. local condi he Soviet Un	ing an circular tive tions.	
<1 2.	of the officia was in nad even The attack. In dia	the file of the recent issued. The ser been issued that policies of the toward the soviet United in and, the soviet United in and, the serial in and the serial in another in an and the serial in and the se	on VD. I am position of VD were determine VD was not the same	ical Department of the that no such distribution in this difference of all by in Germany as in the D was considered a in disciplinary purious to the thick of the	GOFG sett rective or such a direct file. local condi he Soviet Un	ing an circular tive tions.	
< 1	of the officia was in nad even The attack. In dia	the file of the result of the file of the result of the result of the result of the result of the soviet United So	on VD. I am position to the Median VD. I am position in the last state of the last s	ical Department of the that no such distribution if a first of all by in Germany as in the D was considered a	GOFG sett rective or such a direct file. local condi he Soviet Un	ing an circular tive tions.	
<1 2.	of the officia was in nad ever The attachment at a. In dis	the file of the recent issued that the Soviet United Italians and, this fact,	on VD. I am position of VD were determine VD was not the same ion contraction of Vb therefore, resulted	if a life that no such dive that no such dive that no such dive that no such diversity and the life that no such diversity and the life that no such diversity as in the life that no such diversity as in disciplinary putwo cases:	GOFG sett rective or such a direct file. local condi he Soviet Un breach of nishment.	ing an circular tive tions.	
<1 2.	of the officia was in nad even The attack. In dia	the file of the recent issued that the Soviet United Interest of I	on VD. I am position of VD were determine VD was not the same ton contraction of Vb therefore, resulted ergeant serving with	if a life that no such dive that no such dive that no such dive that no such diversity of all by in Germany as in the D was considered a in disciplinary putwo cases:	GOFG sett rective or such a direct file. local condi he Soviet Un breach of nishment.	ing an circular tive tions.	
<1 2.	of the officia was in nad ever The attachment at a. In dis	the Soviet United In State and	on VD. I am position of VD were determined by was not the same ton contraction of V therefore, resulted ergeant serving with contracted syphical c	if a life was considered a in disciplinary put two cases: the Officer Candilis. The man was	GOFG sett rective or such a direction of the soviet United the section of the sec	ing an circular tive tions.	
<1 2.	of the officia was in nad ever The attachment at a. In dis	the Soviet United Into Into Into Into Into Into Into Into	on VD. I am position of VD were determine VD was not the same ton contraction of Vb therefore, resulted ergeant serving with	if a life was considered a in disciplinary put two cases: the Officer Candilis. The man was	GOFG sett rective or such a direction of the soviet United the section of the sec	ing an circular tive tions.	
<1 2.	of the officia was in nad ever The attachment at a. In dis	the Soviet United In State and	on VD. I am position of VD were determined by was not the same ton contraction of V therefore, resulted ergeant serving with contracted syphical c	if a life was considered a in disciplinary put two cases: the Officer Candilis. The man was	GOFG sett rective or such a direction of the soviet United the section of the sec	ing an circular tive tions.	
<1 2. <1 <1 <1	of the officia was in nad ever The attachment at a. In dis	the Soviet United Into Into Into Into Into Into Into Into	on VD. I am position of VD were determined by was not the same ton contraction of V therefore, resulted ergeant serving with contracted syphical c	if a life was considered a in disciplinary put two cases: the Officer Candilis. The man was	GOFG sett rective or such a direction of the soviet United the section of the sec	ing an circular tive tions.	
<1 2.	of the officia was in nad ever The attachment at a. In dis	the Soviet United Into Into Into Into Into Into Into Into	on VD. I am position of VD were determined by was not the same ton contraction of Valuerefore, resulted by regeant serving with contracted syphical contracted syphica	if a life was considered a in disciplinary put two cases: the Officer Candilis. The man was	GOFG sett rective or such a direction of the soviet United the section of the sec	ing an circular tive tions.	25
<1 2. <1 <1 <1	of the officia was in nad ever The attachment at a. In dis	the Soviet United Into Into Into Into Into Into Into Into	on VD. I am position of VD were determined by was not the same ton contraction of V therefore, resulted ergeant serving with contracted syphical c	if a life was considered a in disciplinary put two cases: the Officer Candilis. The man was	GOFG sett rective or such a direction of the soviet United the section of the sec	ing an circular tive tions.	
<1 2. <1 <1 <1	of the officia was in nad ever The attachment at a. In dis	the Soviet United Into Into Into Into Into Into Into Into	on VD. I am position of VD were determined by was not the same ton contraction of Valuerefore, resulted by regeant serving with contracted syphical contracted syphica	if a life was considered a in disciplinary put two cases: the Officer Candilis. The man was	GOFG sett rective or such a direction of the soviet United the section of the sec	ing an circular tive tions.	
2. (1) (1)	of the officia was in nad ever The attack. In dis	the Soviet United Into Into Into Into Into Into Into Into	on VD. I am position of VD were determined by was not the same ton contraction of Valuerefore, resulted by regeant serving with contracted syphical contracted syphica	if a life was considered a in disciplinary put two cases: the Officer Candilis. The man was	GOFG sett rective or such a direction of the soviet United the section of the sec	ing an circular tive tions.	

25X1

25X1

- School in Molotov contracted gonorrhea and was given 15 days in the guard-house. I know of other cases where military personnel in the USSR were punished for contracting VD, but I do not remember dates and locations. In both cases mentioned above, the disease was reported punishment.
- b. I think the general attitude, even now, of the Soviet population is that VD is a disgrace; therefore, the punishments imposed upon army personnel who contracted the disease should be considered more as a logical consequence of this general attitude than as a special army policy. The use of prophylactics in the Soviet Army was obligatory; violators who failed to comply with these rules were punished. At least, that was the official justification for the punishment imposed.
- In Germany the situation in respect to VD was different. Female Soviet volunteers with the armed forces in Germany had to undergo quarterly medical checks in the army gynecological clinics. Therefore, the possibility of contraction of VD from Soviet female personnel was almost ruled out. That left only one way to contract VD, namely, from German women. Since fraternization was strictly forbidden, every VD case in the occupation army first of all indicated a flagrant breach of the non-fraternization policy and was considered indisputable evidence of such a breach. Since noncompliance with the anti-fraternization rules was considered a most serious violation of discipline, even when VD was not contracted, the punishment was always imposed, at least officially, for breach of anti-fraternization rules. It was very hard to say whether some part of the punishment was actually given because of the VD itself, or whether the entire punishment was given because of the violation of nonfraternization regulations. In point of fact, since personnel caught in violation of non-fraternization rules were almost always sent back to the USSR, it did not make any difference whether the VD was to any extent the cause of the punishment. However, I knew of a case where a private in an artillery

and reported promptly for treatment. Since it could not be definitely established whether he had contracted this disease from a German or a Soviet female, the man was treated in an army hospital and then given 20 days in the guard-house. Since fraternization could not be established in his case, the punishment was actually given for VD, although I do not know what was the official interpretation of the violation where punishments were given; I do not know any details.

- 3. Treatment of VD cases, especially syphilis, was normally done in VD wards of army hospitals. Officers and sometimes EM who were on friendly terms with the unit's medical officer or medical assistant dispensary. No record of such a case would be made. Officers who by the nature of their duties could go out and visit German towns the treatment.
 - a. Depending on local conditions, cases of gonorrhea in the Soviet Army in Germany were sometimes treated in unit dispensaries rather than in hospitals to avoid "washing dirty linen in public". Normal treatment consisted of five shots of penicillin of 40,000 units each, given within a 10-hour period. This treatment was the same at a unit dispensary as in an army hospital.

SECRET

- b. Syphilis was always treated in army hospitals. In the first stage it was treated by a series of penicillin shots and sulfa drugs over a period of several months. When this was completed, patients were returned to their units and normally sent back to the USSR, where they were advised to report weekly to local VD or general dispensaries for alternate shots of bismuth and of salvarsan or neo-salvarsan. I do not know any details covering this treatment.
- 4. I wish to emphasize that as far as I know there was no such thing as clear-cut, definite policy on VD in the Soviet Occupation Forces in Germany. There were no regulations prescribing punishment for VD and no directives saying that there would be no punishment when VD cases were reported promptly for treatment. Therefore, I believe it would be proper to assume that this matter is left strictly to the discretion of local commanders, who treat it as a disciplinary violation of the non-fraternization policy.

SECRET